

# FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

Feb. 6, 2015

Ministry of Forests Lands and Natural Resource Operations

### Wildlife Harvest Allocation Decision 2015

#### What is the decision?

- The minister's decision is to approve the allocation framework with clearly set splits for each hunt that is in 'Category A' throughout the province. The decision does not include General Open Season opportunities such as mule deer, whitetail deer, black bear, etc. which are unaffected.
- By regionally tailoring the allocation decision, impacts on resident hunters are minimized. Resident hunters will continue to receive priority shares of the wildlife allocation, with a stable and predictable percentage.
- Vacant areas and areas not currently allocated to guides will continue to be maintained as unguided areas, and available for resident hunting.
- The decision to have clear and legislated/regulated splits for each harvest will result in less discretion in the hands of regional managers and therefore more certainty for all user groups. Until legislative/regulatory amendments can be made, the allocation splits will be set in policy.
- Targeted increased shares of allocation for guide outfitters to help ensure the guide outfitter industry remains economically viable.
- No additional mitigation measures for guides will be made available beyond the increased harvest percentages as noted.

# What are the specific allocations, and how do they compare to the pre-2014 allocation amount for each sector?

REGION	SPECIES	<b>RESIDENT %</b>	INDUSTRY
		SPLIT	(Guides) % SPLIT
1	Bull Elk	85	15
1	Either Sex Elk	85	15
1	Grizzly Bear	60	40
2	Bull Elk	80	20
3	Mountain Goat	65	35
3	Grizzly Bear	60	40
3	Moose	85	15
3	Big Horn Sheep	70	30
4	Big Horn Sheep	60	40
4	Mountain Goat	65	35
4	Grizzly Bear	68	32

Consult the following chart:

4	Bull Moose	80	20
5	Moose	75	25
5	Bull Caribou	75	25
5	Grizzly Bear	60	40
5	Mountain Goat	65	35
6 North	Thin Horn Sheep	60	40
6 South	Moose	75	25
6 North	Moose	75	25
6 South	Mountain Goat	65	35
6 North	Mountain Goat	65	35
6 North	Caribou	75	25
6 South	Grizzly Bear	60	40
6 North	Grizzly Bear	60	40
7 A	Bull Moose	77	23
7 A	Grizzly Bear	60	40
7 B	Mountain Goat	65	35
7 B	Antlerless Elk	98	2
7 B	Grizzly Bear	60	40
7 B	Bison	82	18
8	Bull Moose	80	20
8	Big Horn Sheep	70	30
8	Mountain Goat	65	35
8	Grizzly Bear	60	40

### Why didn't you increase resident opportunity for moose to 90/10 across the province?

- The revised allocation splits provide more resident hunting opportunity for moose, and we remain committed to looking for more opportunities to increase.
- Province-wide, the overall average allocation for moose is about 85/15.

#### Would you consider resident-only hunting seasons?

• While resident hunters are given priority, having resident-only seasons would have severe negative economic impacts on the guiding sector.

#### How are First Nations traditional hunting rights affected by the Harvest Allocation Policy?

• The First Nations hunt is not affected. Prior to setting allocations between resident and guided hunters, calculations are made to ensure that overharvesting will not occur. This calculation takes into account both naturally occurring mortality and First Nations harvesting.

#### Why do we allow hunting at all in B.C.?

• Hunting is a long standing recreational and commercial activity in BC and around the world. Hunting is a part of the culture which through history served to feed families, protect people from predator and agricultural crops from damage, and provide recreational outdoor activities.

### Why do we allow non-residents to hunt in B.C.?

- B.C. has benefited from non-resident hunters for over 100 years.
- Guide clients are one of the highest spending tourists per capita to visit B.C.

### Why are we changing the allocations to increase what the guide outfitters get to hunt?

- Some guides have been significantly impacted by the current allocation policy to the point that their businesses are in jeopardy.
- This change allows for some relief and additional opportunity to increase the likelihood of success for those businesses.
- We are still committed to resident priority.

# How is this maintaining resident priority when resident share is clearly impacted from what they have today?

- Resident hunters will continue to receive priority shares of the wildlife allocation, with a stable and predictable percentage.
- By regionally tailoring the allocation decision, impacts on resident hunters are minimized.
- Vacant areas and areas not currently allocated to guides will continue to be maintained as unguided areas, and available for resident hunting.

# How much of the Province is currently not allocated to a guide territory, and are these areas concentrated in a specific area of the Province?

• The majority of these areas are in the south central part of the Province as well as the north east.

## Is the Province considering basing allocations on Population Management Units?

• No decision has been made at this time, but the Province is still considering this idea.

## What are the benefits of this decision to BC Wildlife Federation?

- Legislated/regulated splits, meaning less discretion in the hands of regional managers.
- Less ability for appeals by either resident or non-resident hunting groups.
- No allocation of vacant or unallocated areas to the guiding sector where residents may continue to hunt.
- Certainty of resident priority shares of wildlife allocation, with a stable and predictable percentage.

### What did the BC Wildlife Federation request that they will not receive?

• Set percentage splits at the levels they wanted.

## What are the benefits to Guide Outfitters of this decision?

• Certainty on allocation splits, with a stable and predictable percentage.

• Increased shares of allocations which will help with the impacts caused by the allocation policy.

### What did the Guide Outfitters request that they will not receive?

- Regional allocations based on larger geographic areas.
- A return to a success factors model, rather than the current 'hard quota' approach.
- Ability to access vacant and unallocated areas.
- Extra mitigation measures (for example mitigation fund and access to unallocated areas) beyond the allocation splits.

### What are the impacts to the resident hunters?

- In total, this model represents a transfer of approximately 60 animals from residents to guides.
- In a majority of hunts (21 of 34), there will be a slight reduction in hunting opportunities.
- In 7 of 34 hunts, there will be a slight increase to resident hunting opportunities.
- In 6 hunts there will be no change from the previous allocation.
- The total reduction is estimated at about 600 hunting opportunities, which is approximately four per cent of the total allocated hunting opportunities in the Province (approx. 17,500 total hunting opportunities). This does not include General Open Season opportunities such as mule deer, whitetail deer, black bear, etc.
- Of the 45,700 animals harvested by resident hunters each year in B.C., only, 3,729 or 8 per cent are on allocation.

### What are the impacts to the guides?

- Guides will receive an increase to their total hunting opportunities.
- While a small percentage of total resident opportunity, represents a considerable difference to the guiding industry.
- This shift represents a minimum economic value to guides of approximately \$2.6 million per year, and will help ensure the sector remains viable.

# Why is there such a large shift of grizzly bear harvest from residents to guides compared to other species?

- Shifting grizzly bears will have minimal impact to residents, but be of considerable value to guides.
- Grizzly hunting is inherently difficult and due to very low success rates, residents harvest very few of the resident share at present.